	Case 2:20-cv-01140-AC Document 5	5 Filed 07/01/20	Page 1 of 2
1			
1			
2			
3 4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE EASTERN I	DISTRICT OF CAL	II OKWA
11	KENNETH DEAN DAWSON,	No. 2:20-cv-11	40 AC P
12	Plaintiff,	10. 2.20-60-11	40 AC 1
13	,	ORDER	
14	V. CAVIN NEWSOM et al	ORDER	
	GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,		
15 16	Defendants.		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has also filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28		
19	U.S.C. § 1915.		
20	The federal venue statute provides that a civil action "may be brought in (1) a judicial		
21	district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the		
22	district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions		
23	giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action		
24	is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in		
25	this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal		
26	jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).		
20 27	In the instant case, plaintiff is incarcerated in the California Rehabilitation Center in		
	•		
28	Norco, California, which is in Riverside County. Although plaintiff names numerous state		

officials, it appears that he is challenging, inter alia, his prison transport to the Santa Clara County Superior Court earlier this month. Thus, it appears that this claim arose in Riverside County, which is in the Central District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should have been brought in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Eastern Division. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Eastern Division. DATED: June 30, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:20-cv-01140-AC Document 5 Filed 07/01/20 Page 2 of 2